

They Bear



This Label

APPAREL FOR MEN

when sold here, must be of the Highest Grade—Perfect. In selling STEIN-BLOCH SMART CLOTHES, we realize that it is expressive of the highest attainment of the tailor's art—absolutely the limit of clothing possibilities.

We can tell you all about the clothes, but you must see them to truly appreciate their elegance of finish; you must try-on a garment to see how well it fits and how dressy it looks; you must wear the clothes only a short time to satisfy yourself that never did you get as good value or more fashionable looking clothes for your money.

Merchant tailors charge almost twice as much and give you no better clothes. Ask the men who wear Stein-Bloch Smart Clothes about them and you will wear them, too.

STEIN-BLOCH SMART SUITS AND SPRING OVER-

COATS, \$15.00 to \$35.00.

M. McINERNEY, Ltd.

CLOTHIERS

Fort and Merchant Streets

A.B.C. BOHEMIAN
 "KING OF ALL BOTTLED BEERS."~
 SOLD EVERYWHERE.

COLLEGE HILLS LAND FOR SALE.

CHOICE LOTS IN MANOA VALLEY are for sale at very reasonable prices and upon easy terms.

These lots command a splendid view of mountain and ocean. Artesian water, fine soil and delightfully cool.

Persons desiring assistance in building, can arrange with the Trustees of the Oahu College for a loan at a reasonable rate of interest.

For particulars apply to

P. C. JONES,
Treasurer of Oahu College,
404 Judd Building.

FRENCH LAUNDRY

J. ABADIE Prop.

211 Beretania Street. Phone Blue 3552. Opposite Hawaiian Hotel

MENNEN'S

BORATED TALCUM

TOILET POWDER

 Beautifies the Complexion
 DELICIOUS AFTER BATHING.
 LUXURY AFTER SHAVING.

 A Positive Relief for Prickly Heat, Chafing, Sunburn
 and Aching Feet, and all afflictions of the Skin.
 Recommended by eminent Physicians and Nurses as
 the most perfectly hygienic

Toilet Powder for Infants and Adults.

 Get Mennen's (the original); it is entirely different from all
 other toilet powders and complexion powders. It contains no
 starch, rice or other irritants which are common in ordinary face
 powders. The many merits of Mennen's Borated Talcum
 Toilet Powder and its great success have been
 conclusively demonstrated, many of which are dangerous. To be
 sure of getting the genuine, look for Mennen's face on the
 cover of the box.

FOR SALE BY ALL DRUGGISTS.

GERHARD MENNEN CHEMICAL CO., Newark, N. J., U. S. A.

Depot: HOBSON DRUG COMPANY.

ORIENTAL BAZAAR

 Large stock of Grass Linens in various colors. Embroidered Tea and
 Table Cloths. Also some nice bedspreads.

PONGEE in light and heavy weights. Just the thing for this weather.

Waity Building, King Street opposite Advertiser Office.

Phone White 2748.

**Remember
It's Pure**

 There is no fortification of injurious acids to injure the
 tonic properties of pure malt and hops contained in

PRIMO LAGER

ALL DEALERS SELL IT.

THE DISBARMENT RAKE IS TAKING A WIDER SWATH

(Continued from page 1.)

Sumner, from which decree an appeal was taken to the Supreme Court.

Eleventhly, that on or about June 25 the Supreme Court confirmed the decree of Judge De Bolt and under its decision the \$48,025 was paid to John K. Sumner.

The twelfth count and the conclusion of the information are as follows: That on or about the 26th day of June, 1902, said J. A. Magoon persuaded and induced said John K. Sumner, although well knowing his weakness and inability to understand financial matters, to pay to him as a fee for his service in the aforesaid case of Robert vs. Sumner the sum of Four Thousand (\$4,000) Dollars, he, the said J. A. Magoon, well knowing that said John K. Sumner had paid Geo. A. Davis the sum of Two Thousand (\$2,000) Dollars as associate counsel with said J. A. Magoon in said case, and that he, the said J. A. Magoon, and the said Geo. A. Davis had just prior thereto, and on or about the 13th day of October, 1902, obtained from the said Sumner the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000) Dollars for legal services in the suit of Maria S. Davis, above named.

And Complainant charges that said fee was grossly excessive, in view of the services rendered, and the amount of money recovered, as well as the amount of money previously paid by said John K. Sumner to said J. A. Magoon and Geo. A. Davis, and that said Magoon, in inducing said Sumner to pay said fee and in taking advantage of the age and infirmities as aforesaid to charge and obtain such fee was guilty of gross professional impropriety and misconduct.

Wherefore, by reason of the foregoing, Complainant charges said J. A. Magoon with professional impropriety, malpractice and gross misconduct, and asks that the said J. A. Magoon may be cited to appear and answer this information at the June, 1903, session of the October, 1902, term of this Honorable Court, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, and that if the charges herein be sustained, that Your Honors will dismiss from the roll of practitioners, suspend from practice, or otherwise deal with the said J. A. Magoon as under the pleadings and proof may be proper.

GEO. A. DAVIS IS ARRAIGNED

In the Supreme Court of the Territory of Hawaii, October Term, 1902, July 1902 Session.

In the Matter of George A. Davis, an Attorney-at-Law of the Supreme Court.—Information.

To the Honorable Supreme Court of Territory of Hawaii:

Lorrin Andrews, as Attorney General of the Territory of Hawaii, respectfully shows to this Honorable Court that he sues for the said Territory of Hawaii, and on its behalf comes into Court here on this day, and in the name, and by the authority of the Territory of Hawaii, gives the Court to understand and be informed:

1.—That at all the times hereinafter mentioned, and for several years prior to the filing of this information, Geo. A. Davis has been, and now is, an attorney-at-law, duly admitted and licensed, by this Honorable Court, to practice law in all courts of the Territory of Hawaii; and that under and by virtue of a certificate of admittance and license to practice, and from the time of the granting thereof by this Honorable Court, has practiced law and conducted a general law business in the Territory of Hawaii.

2.—That said Geo. A. Davis has been guilty of professional improprieties, malpractice, deceit and infidelity to his client, and gross misconduct in the manner following, to wit:

3.—That on or about the 23d day of September, 1902, the said Geo. A. Davis procured himself to be retained as attorney for one Maria S. Davis, and instigated and advised her to bring proceedings before a judge of the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, at Chambers, against her brother, one John K. Sumner, wherein and whereby she asked that said John K. Sumner be declared non compos mentis and placed under guardianship. That thereafter and on or about the 12th day of October, 1902, said John K. Sumner and Maria S. Davis, parties to said action, agreed to compromise and discontinue said action, on the payment by John K. Sumner to Maria S. Davis of the sum of Ten thousand (\$10,000) Dollars, and said Maria S. Davis, through her son, one R. W. Davis, notified said Geo. A. Davis, her attorney, of her intention to so settle and discontinue her said action. That said Geo. A. Davis, though claiming to act as her attorney, refused to settle and discontinue said action, unless he was paid the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000) Dollars, and threatened to prevent the settlement and discontinuance of said action unless said sum of money was paid to him, and refused to take any steps to settle said action until arrangements were made whereby said Davis did receive the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000) Dollars as counsel fees. And complainant charges that the said actions of Geo. A. Davis were grossly improper, and that the said Geo. A. Davis by so doing was guilty of malpractice and infidelity to his client.

4.—That the said John K. Sumner was a man of upwards of the age of eighty-four (84) years, with little or no knowledge of business, or the value of money, and by reason of his great age and lack of knowledge, was easily influenced and controlled, all of which facts were well known to said Geo. A. Davis.

5.—And complainant further alleges that on or about the first day of December, 1902, the said Geo. A. Davis made certain false representations to one R. W. Davis and John K. Sumner, to wit: that he, the said Geo. A. Davis, could immediately obtain for said John K. Sumner, the sum of Forty-eight Thousand and Twenty-five (\$48,025) Dollars at that time deposited with the Banking House of Bishop & Company, of Honolulu, Territory of Hawaii, on condition that the said John K. Sumner would pay to him, for said service in obtaining the money, the sum of Three Thousand (\$3,000) Dollars, which representations of said Geo. A. Davis were wholly false and untrue and said Davis knew them to be so, and made them for the purpose of deceiving said John K. Sumner and obtaining from him a promissory note for the amount of Three Thousand (\$3,000) Dollars.

6.—That on or about the 1st day of December, 1902, the said Geo. A. Davis persuaded and induced the said John K. Sumner, by the means of the false representations aforesaid, to sign a promissory note for the sum of Three Thousand (\$3,000) Dollars in the words and terms as follows:

Honolulu, Dec. 1, 1902.
 "On demand, for value received, I promise to pay George A. Davis, or order, the sum of Three Thousand (\$3,000) Dollars at the Banking House of Bishop & Company, without interest. (Signed) JOHN K. SUMNER."

That at the time of receiving said note said Davis had given no value therefor, and said John K. Sumner, had received no value therefor, but misled by the misrepresentations of said Geo. A. Davis, believed that said the said John K. Sumner, note was necessary to insure the obtaining immediately of the sum of Forty-eight Thousand and Twenty-five (\$48,025) Dollars by the said Geo. A. Davis from the aforesaid bank; and that upon the failure of the said Geo. A. Davis to obtain the said sum, said note would have no value or effect.

That said Geo. A. Davis, in violation of his professional oath, and duty as an attorney and professional adviser, thus falsely and fraudulently obtained said note from the said John K. Sumner and refused to return the same, and kept the same and did not obtain from the Bank the sum of Forty-eight Thousand and Twenty-five (\$48,025) Dollars, as he had represented himself able to do, and was thus guilty of gross professional misconduct, deceit and infidelity to his client.

7.—That on or about the 29th day of October, 1902, Gulistan F. Roper, as Trustee for said John K. Sumner, filed a petition addressed to the Presiding Judge of the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, entitled "Gulistan F. Roper, as Trustee of John K. Sumner, plaintiff, vs. John K. Sumner, Victoria Ellis Buffumdeau, William S. Ellis, John S. Ellis, Maria S. Davis, Wally Davis, Right Rev. Gulistan F. Roper, Bishop of Panopolis and S. M. Damon and S. E. Damon, Co-partners, doing business under the firm name of Bishop & Company, bankers, defendants;" that said Geo. A. Davis procured himself to be appointed as one of the attorneys for said John K. Sumner, and assisted in the conduct of said case on behalf of said John K. Sumner.

8.—That on or about the 12th day of January, 1903, by decree of the Honorable J. T. De Bolt, First Judge of the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, of the Territory of Hawaii, the said trust deed to Gulistan F. Roper was canceled, and the said sum of Forty-eight Thousand and Twenty-five (\$48,025) Dollars was ordered paid to John K. Sumner, from which decree an appeal was taken to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Hawaii.

9.—That on or about the 25th day of June, 1903, the Supreme Court of the Territory of Hawaii rendered a decision wherein and whereby the aforesaid decree of the Honorable J. T. De Bolt, 1st Judge of the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, of the Territory of Hawaii was confirmed and by and under which the sum of Forty-eight Thousand and Twenty-five (\$48,025) Dollars was paid to the said John K. Sumner.

That on or about the 26th day of June, 1903 said Geo. A. Davis threatened said John K. Sumner, well knowing his weakness and inability to understand financial matters, that unless the said John K. Sumner should pay to him, the said Geo. A. Davis, the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$2,500) Dollars for his services in the said action of Gulistan F. Roper against said John K. Sumner et al., he would sue the said Sumner on the aforesaid promissory note for Three Thousand (\$3,000) Dollars fraudulently obtained as aforesaid by the said Geo. A. Davis, and would garnish the said Forty-eight Thousand and Twenty-five (\$48,025) Dollars obtained by said John K. Sumner under the said decree in said action, and delay the matter so that the said John K. Sumner would be unable to obtain the possession and control of said money; and that by means of said threats and intimidations and preying upon the fears of said John K. Sumner, who had great dread of litigation, as said Geo. A. Davis well knew, said Geo. A. Davis did extort from the said John K. Sumner the sum of Two Thousand (\$2,000) Dollars. And complainant charges that the actions on the part of said Geo. A. Davis were grossly improper, and that said Geo. A. Davis was guilty of malpractice, deceit and infidelity to his client in resorting to the same.

Whereof, by reason of the foregoing complainant charges said Geo. A. Davis with professional improprieties, malpractice, deceit, infidelity to his client and gross misconduct, and asks that the said Geo. A. Davis may be cited to appear and answer this information at the June 1903 session of the October 1902 Term of this Honorable Court, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, and if the charges herein may be sustained, that your Honors will dismiss from the roll of practitioners, suspend from practice, or otherwise deal with the said Geo. A. Davis, as under the pleadings and proofs may be proper.

LORRIN ANDREWS,
 Attorney General, Territory of Hawaii.

DAVIS PETITIONS GOVERNOR DOLE

To the Honorable Sanford B. Dole,
 Governor of the Territory of Hawaii.

The petition of George A. Davis, a citizen of the Territory of Hawaii, humbly shows unto your Honor and the petitioner alleges and says as follows:

1.—I charge Lorrin Andrews, the Attorney General of the Territory of Hawaii, with using his office as Attorney General of the said Territory for the purpose of maligning, slandering,



REDUCTIONS

Prices for This Week Only

 MISSES' WHITE SKIRTS, hem-
 stitched, in size 6; regular price, 75c.

On Sale 45c.

 Size 8, hemstitched skirts; regular
 price, 75c.

On Sale 45c.

Size 8, trimmed with lace, 75c.

On Sale 45c.

Size 10, hemstitched, 75c.

On Sale 45c.

Size 10, trimmed with lace, 75c.

On Sale 45c.

**Ladies'
Muslin Skirts**

ON SALE AT SPECIAL PRICES.

 Deep edge of embroidery with hem-
 stitching and tucks above, extra good
 value

\$1.50

 Good Muslin Skirts, deep Hamburg
 embroidery ruffle, cluster of 3 tucks at

Special Sale \$1.25

PACIFIC IMPORT COMPANY, Ltd.
 MODEL BLOCK, FORT STREET.

New Gents' Furnishing Goods

Just received from the Coast.

also

Japanese Fancy Dress Goods

from Japan

at

Iwakami & Co.

HOTEL STREET OPPOSITE BETHEL.

 James F. Morgan, President; Cecil Brown, Vice President;
 F. Hustace, Secretary; Charles H. Atherton, Auditor; W. H.
 Hoogs, Treasurer and Manager.

Hustace & Co., Ltd.

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL DEALERS IN

Firewood, Stove, Steam, Blacksmith's Coal

Also Black and White Sand. Telephone Main 295.

Special Attention Given to Draying.

defaming and otherwise injuring my character as a lawyer and a citizen in that, he caused to be lodged and filed in the Supreme Court of this Territory a certain information which is absolutely false and untrue, wherein he, the said Lorrin Andrews, charged me with professional impropriety, malpractice, deceit and infidelity, a copy of which said information your petitioner respectfully prays may be hereafter filed and the several paragraphs thereof referred to upon the hearing in this matter.

2.—I charge the said Lorrin Andrews as Attorney General of the Territory of Hawaii with neglecting and refusing to file an information against Emil C. Peters, Deputy Attorney General of this Territory, because and for the reason that the said Deputy Attorney General Peters, on the 14th day of October, A. D. 1902, received from me the sum of \$2500 in gold coin, being part of the \$5000 with which the said Lorrin Andrews charges me with receiving improperly in the Third paragraph of the information above referred to. The receipt for the said sum of \$2,500 is partly written and partly printed, and the written part thereof is in the handwriting of the said Deputy Attorney General Peters; and the said receipt is in the words and figures following, to wit:

October 14th, 1902.
 "Received from Hon. Geo. A. Davis Twenty-five Hundred Dollars in full of share of Fee in re Petition for Guardianship of J. K. Sumner."
 "\$2500. MAGOON & PETERS."

3.—I charge the said Lorrin Andrews, the Attorney General of the Territory of Hawaii, with the gross misuse of his power as Attorney General in filing the information against me, because the said Lorrin Andrews is biased, prejudiced and interested directly in the result of this proceeding, because his lawfully appointed Deputy received the sum of \$2500 out of the said sum of \$5000 as aforesaid, and should be proceeded against upon information which the said Lorrin Andrews in disregard of the high duties of his office has refused to do, but has filed an information which he knows to be false and untrue against me, the said George A. Davis.

4.—I charge the said Lorrin Andrews with the gross abuse of the prerogatives of his high office as such Attorney General in filing said information against me, the said George A. Davis, because the facts therein stated are wholly unsupported by the record and evidence in the case of John K. Sumner at the suit of Gulistan F. Roper, Bishop of Panopolis, Trustee, and the said Lorrin Andrews well knows that the said information so filed by him against me, the said Geo. A. Davis, on the 24th day of July, A. D. 1902, is wholly false and unfounded and that the facts and statements therein contained are wholly groundless.

Your petitioner therefore prays that at the termination of the proceedings in the Supreme Court, and after the information which has been lodged against me has been disposed of, that I may be heard before your Honor as to the conduct, actions and doings of the said Lorrin Andrews, and that proof as I may be able to furnish in support of the charges herein set forth, and that upon due proof being made of the conduct of the said Lorrin Andrews in the premises that he may be removed from the office of Attorney General by you, the said Governor of the Territory of Hawaii.

'NURSING MOTHERS'

"A richer milk than milk" is good food for nursing mothers. Scott's Emulsion is the rich cream of cod liver oil, and contains ten times as much cream as milk does.

The nursing mother must eat with the purpose of producing good, nourishing milk for her baby. A little Scott's Emulsion is often a very wise addition to her daily diet. If through nervousness or weakness her milk is a failure, Scott's Emulsion will help make it a success.

The baby gets the benefit, too, when the mother takes Scott's Emulsion. The same remedy brings new strength and nourishment to both.

We'll send you a sample free upon request.

SCOTT & BOWNE, 409 Pearl Street, New York.

Rush and Leather

 Seated Chairs—Mission
 Furniture—Picture Fram-
 ing—Objects of Art.

Orders taken for miniature Painting.


**A. R.
Gurrey
Jr.**

Hotel and Alakes Sts.

\$20 Beit for \$5.
 "Dr. Alden's Electric Belt."
 Warranted genuine. Not a
 toy. No humbug. It cures
 without drugs. Circulars free.
 Sent by mail on receipt of \$5.
 Try Electricity. No Agents.
PIERCE ELECTRIC CO.
 206 Post St., SAN FRANCISCO, CA., or
 33 West 24th Street, NEW YORK, N. Y.